

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,931	06/26/2003	Sang-Min Jang	041993-5218	3408
9629	7590 09/27/2005	EXAMINER		
	EWIS & BOCKIUS I	WANG, GEORGE Y		
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/603,931	JANG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
George Y. Wang	2871		

	George Y. Wang	2871			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 16 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (line).	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.		
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		ET INOT THE ET WHOT	ILLO WITTIM		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
NOTICE OF APPEAL	liana with 27 OFD 44 27 much ba	filed with a tree manual			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or			the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	_		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-19 and 32-50.					
Claim(s) rejected. <u>1-19 and 32-30.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>20-31</u> .					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	<u>-</u>		•		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	ice because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other:					
	Andrew bleather		1.1		
	ANDREW SCHECHTER PRIMARY EXAMINER		GN		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant amends independent claims 1 and 32 to include the limitation that at least one first set of protrusions and depressions extend "in a direction substantially perpendicular to a surface on which the gate lines are arranged." Because this limitation was not previously recited in any previous claim, only upon further search and investigation will patentability be determined.